



The Wonder Springs Chronicle

9 April 2008 ; Volume 10, Number 15

The Wonder Springs Chronicle

Contact Information:

Jerry Bannon

Publisher

Wonder Springs

PO Box 1381

Orient, Washington USA 99160

phone: 509.496.5855

blog: www.wondersprings.org email:

jerryb@wondersprings.org

chronicle@wondersprings.org

*©2008; non-commercial education
& forwarding encouraged, please
give a citation credit all other rights
reserved. This work is licensed under
a Creative Commons Attribution-
Noncommercial-No Derivative
Works 3.0 United States License.*

*For past Chronicle archives or to
subscribe to this weekly email please
visit our web site at:
www.wondersprings.org*

The Chutzpah of Egalitarians

“The times they are a changin’” This is especially true up here in the woods of nowhere. There is still snow, especially as you go higher in the mountains. “Is this really all caused by human global warming, or is there some special interest at work here?” When the yearly total for snow passed 100 inches we all believed it was time for spring.

But spring or no spring, the chutzpah of the egalitarians is still an ominous cloud of an impending economic winter that should make American’s, as well as all citizens of the planet, seriously look at what might fall from the sky in the months to come.

Chutzpah is a Yiddish word meaning shameless audacity. We recently have heard a lot about audacity, and will hear more about it in the months ahead. A lot of that will have to do with joining chutzpah with hope. Hope is an interesting word in that it can be both a noun and

a verb. So when you are talking about hope are you talking about a process or are you talking about a destination.

We fail to realize in either sense, is that hope without a tangible object for its endpoint or fulfillment is really an exercise in futility. We are told that that endpoint really doesn’t matter, that each should determine that goal for ourselves. The problem is that this is pure nonsense in both the natural and the metaphysical sense.

The chutzpah of hope should focus on the rights of humanity. In our current political situation in the United States everyone is no longer satisfied with common rights, guaranteed by our constitution, but they want special rights. We want rights to make each of us special, to be part of some sort of elite, without the responsibilities attached there to. That leads absolutely to anarchy.



The interesting thing about all these special rights is we truly need these rights to prove that we are human. That doesn't work however, because we simply do not create our own or the reality of others.

What is really happening in western society is that we have reached the end of the chutzpah of evolution and found we are at a dead end. To make matters worse we have burned all the bridges behind. So as a society and as individuals at the end of this evolutionary wilderness trail, we light this big fire to dispel the darkness and chant some chutzpah mantras, nobody shows up to comfort us in our loneliness, then we become scared and withdraw further into ourselves.

The current primary situation in the Democrat Party is a major example of this dead end road. Hillary just doesn't realize that for a substantial number of Americans she is not the savior of the nation, but perhaps the most individually loathsome woman in history. Her current actions are lowering that opinion even further.

Barack Obama on the other hand makes a salient point that he empathizes with your pain, but empathy is not a destination either. Empathy may be a beginning but it takes a person with inner chutzpah to make a path not only for himself, but for others also, if he hopes to lead. It simply looks like he is a man still searching for who he is, and thinks being President of the United States might help in that quest.

Both of these attributes are not that uncommon in the rest of us, simply because we only march to the drummer of our own cadence and we gain nothing of worth from society because by definition the underlying moral basis of our Postmodern world is that there is no underlying moral basis for anything.

So will these different spins of two talented people, who seem to have no compass other than their personal quest for identity, destroy all chances of a Democrat victory in the November elections? Right now that looks like a definite possibility.

Next week we will look at this evolutionary dead end in the political right, where libertarian

goals of selfishness seem to outweigh any value of common rights. For the rest of this week I will look at how the agenda of either Democrat's election will completely compound the difficult situation we now find the country.

Since there is no such thing as absolutes in the evolving political spectrum, the old saying and one of my principles of Business Ecology, "There is no free lunch." Is an oxymoronic indefinable reality. This logically leads to the conclusion that since, the one goal of every person is to accumulate more stuff with the least labor possible, let us give him or her something for nothing. Well that is not really the way to articulate this fable. The fable goes we will tax the rich elite and give the fruits of their labor to you, the poor middle class.

This works because everyone believes they are part of some downtrodden constituency and since it is still socially unacceptable to go and get it on your own by force, the government will do it for you. The problem is that the rich people really are too powerful at least in the United States for the government to really tax the rich to the extent required to pay for the programs, we the poor middle class think we deserve, so the government just creates the money to loan it to the government. Not perpetual motion but a perpetual money machine. There is really no need for this to logically work; something of a higher monetary form will evolve out of this slough of debt. Do you doubt that evolution works? What kind of knuckle dragger are you?

This form of egalitarian equality of outcomes was once known as communism and socialism. It is now known as looking out for you. Well not really looking out for you, but buying you off for your support and taking your birthright as a child of God, serving you a bowl of lentil stew. This stew however, turns out to be just the 21st century recipe of hedonistic nihilism.

All these ideals truly evolve out of the concept of special rights for certain elite members of society at the expense of society or culture as a whole. America however, was not founded on special rights but common rights of all people. When the Declaration of Independence stated, "all men are created equal," that meant under the law, human and God's law.

Without the law of God, equality under only human law cannot and does not exist. We really don't want to believe that, so with the rise of evolutionary

teaching we began to create special classes, essentially of more highly evolved institutions or groups, to look out for the special needs of these egalitarian interests.

Historically the first of these special interest groups were business corporations, which are defined as legal personalities rather than natural personalities. While a form of corporate structure has been around for 1500 years, it really was not until the rise of evolution that corporations became the main enterprise operating paradigm of society. It could be argued quite successfully that the economic success of the United States, in comparison to other countries, rests on the ability of our corporations to raise capital, create wealth for individuals while sharing limited liability for failure. In America bigger is pretty much always been understood as better.

The importance here is what we are dealing with in special rights, not of natural persons, but legally defined groups of personalities. As such a "woman's right to choose," overrides the rights of the unborn "fetus." A fetus is not a person in the legal sense, because it cannot form its own special interest group from their unborn community.

We have the current two party political system because the political parties have secured special rights for themselves, making it virtually impossible for other political parties to gain political power. Open primaries are no longer possible, even though they served this country well from its beginning, because of special rights given to political parties through the court system.

So now if you can gather a large group of the evolutionary oppressed, you can form an egalitarian special rights entity that gives you access to power that would not be available to you under common law provisions. Again those common law provisions laid out in the constitution are based upon equal opportunity, not equality of outcomes.

This brings us to another politically loaded word and that is populism. Again it is power of the people to get a certain agenda enacted for the furtherance of special rights. The point of all

this is if everyone has special rights, common rights truly disappear. Populism is power to the people; this rests on another illusion that the United States was founded as a democracy rather than a republic.

Just as the Founding Fathers understood that these United States would not work because of the diversity of religious beliefs even though they all were essentially Christian they also knew that in the true sense of workable government, true democracies don't work either. This democracy lie could be the biggest egalitarian chutzpah of our public educational system.

Democracies don't work, and republics require leadership. Where are the leaders when we need them?

My response is not all that optimistic, especially in the near term. True religious leaders, working within the common sector, instead of trying to establish a new special interest moral minority or majority, should fill that role.

The beginning of that change in the church needs to be a return to the proclamation of the gospel of Jesus Christ crucified and resurrected on the third day. That paradigm alone is what changed the known world in the first century and is what separates Christianity from the rest of the world's religions. True Christianity has absolute laws that were fulfilled by an Absolute Savior. That understanding alone should give you the security to take on the power of the church and the state and die in your sleep as did Martin Luther.

You can't change society by providing something for everyone's elite and expect no one to pay the bill. God will not let that happen. Throughout human history all governments try to do that and they eventually fail because they think they can do a better job at running things relying only on their own limited understanding. That great working enlightenment is not going to evolve out of some internal dream and a spark of inspiration or someone trying to prove or find oneself.

God however, can and does provide that wisdom if we would only seek Him and His righteousness rather than relying on our own futile chutzpah. How far will we fall before we recognize that Absolute reality? A lot further than we think we will need to go at present. Which means that God will become

God again and we will get the opportunity to
again rise to His standards not sink further into
our own depravity.